



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/824,337

04/13/2004

Tatsuhiko Sato

37904-0054

5386

28481 7590 11/14/2008  
TIAJOLOFF & KELLY  
CHRYSLER BUILDING, 37TH FLOOR  
405 LEXINGTON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10174

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, PHU HOANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1791

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/824,337	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SATO, TATSUHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> PHU H. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHU H. NGUYEN. (3) Andrew Tiajolloff.

(2) Phillip Tucker. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Butterbaugh et al. (U.S Patent No. 6124211), Honma (JP 07-183240).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will submit an amendment to change the dependency for claim 26 to overcome the double patenting rejection. Applicant may amend claims 1-4, with regard to the etching step to overcome the rejection over the admitted prior in view of Butterbaugh and Honma.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Philip C Tucker/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------